

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/509,687	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LUDGATE, MARIAN ELIZABETH	
	<b>Examiner</b> Patrick J. Nolan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** rejected

 (1) Patrick J. Nolan.

 (3) Andrew Patch.

 (2) Philip Dubois.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 May 2005
**Time:** 11:00am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to an Examiner's amendment to place an abstract from the PCT application into the current application and amend claim 39 to replace "Cells" with a cell line to put the case in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)